Ranked Choice Voting Lessons Learned

Local Municipal Elections & Political Party Nominating Contests



THE PURPOSE: WHY RANKED CHOICE VOTING?



Fiscally conservative - lowers cost for municipal elections

One election in November rather than two elections with a Primary and a General

Shorter, less expensive city campaigns

Candidates can focus on a single election in November

Voters more fully express their will

A winner by majority vote

Eliminates the spoiler effect

THE PEOPLE: IMPLEMENTING RCV IN CITY ELECTIONS



Public & Candidate outreach, education:

Videos, mailers, educational events, booths at public events, sample ballots, sample elections, school student body elections, assisted living & senior center tests.

Customer service requests:

Tracked and logged calls to identify share of callers that needed assistance or had questions about RCV specifically. Less than 1% of calls were related to RCV.

Post-election polling:

Voters who used RCV overwhelmingly supported it (over 85%) and found it easy to use (85%).

2021: 23 CITIES & 5 COUNTIES



Salt Lake County:

- Bluffdale
- Cottonwood Heights
- Draper
- Magna
- Midvale
- Millcreek
- Riverton
- Salt Lake City
- Sandy
- South Salt Lake

Utah County:

- Elk Ridge
- Genola
- Goshen
- Lehi
- Payson
- Springville
- Vineyard
- Woodland Hills

Wasatch County:

Heber

Grand County:

Moab

Cache County:

- Newton
- Nibley
- River Heights

THE PROCESS: RCV ELECTION ADMINISTRATION



Ballot design:

Ballot design includes a grid, see example on next slide.

Tabulation:

Ballots are processed and scanned like all other non-RCV ballots. Determining winners requires one extra step to run the IRV process. This was facilitated using a utility ("RCTab") provided by the Ranked Choice Voting Resource Center. Audit process is the same.

Reporting results:

We ran the IRV process on election night and provided preliminary results as we did for any other type of race. We used a free utility ("RCVis") to display interactive results.


OFFICIAL BALLOT

MUNICIPAL GENERAL ELECTION TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2021 Ballot 60 - Type 60 - BC- English -Default - SLC001

RANKED CHOICE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS:

To rank your candidates, fill in the oval next to their name:

- . In the 1st column for your 1st choice candidate
- In the 2nd column for your 2nd choice candidate, and so on



- In a column
- For a candidate



You may rank as many or as few candidates as you like, and you are not required to rank all candidates. If you skip a ranking, subsequent choice(s) in that contest will not be counted. If you make a mistake, mark the ballot so your intent is clear. Do not initial or sign the correction.

MUNICIPAL

SALT LAKE CITY

CITY RECORDER

SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT 2 (2 Year Term) RANKED CHOICE VOTING: Rank up to Five candidates.

	1 First choice	2 Second choice	3 Third choice	4 Fourth choice	5 Fifth choice				
ALEJANDRO "ALE" PUY	01	O 2	O 3	0 '	0 5				
BILLY PALMER	01	O 2	O 3	0 '	0 5				
NIGEL SWABY	01	O 2	O 3	O ⁴	O 5				
DENNIS FARIS	01	O 2	O 3	O ⁴	0 5				
DANIEL TUUTAU	01	O 2	O 3	O ⁴	0 5				



THE PROCESS: VISUALIZING RCV RESULTS



2004 Utah Gubernatorial GOP Convention Example:

https://rcvis.com/v/utah-republican-convention-nomination-for-governor#barchart

2020 Virginia Gubernatorial GOP Convention Example:

https://rcvis.com/v/va-gop-gov#barchart

2020 Utah Gubernatorial GOP Convention Example:

https://rcvis.com/visualize=utgop-2020-convention-governorjson#barchart

Utah City of Lehi, 2021 council example (2 seats):

Seat 1: https://rcvis.com/v/21g le cc 1 u4

Seat 2: https://rcvis.com/v/21g le cc 2 u4

UTAH 2020: GOP GUBERNATORIAL CONVENTION



Utah Republican Convention - Nomination for Governor

Saturday, May 8, 2004

The results of a ranked-choice voting election. | Download Raw Summary Data


Candidate name	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Round 5	Round 6	Round 7
Jon Huntsman	27.98%	27.98%	28.41%	30.32%	34.09%	38.76%	51.28%
	959 votes	959 votes	974 votes	1,038 votes	1,165 votes	1,316 votes	1,707 votes
Nolan Karras	17.71%	17.77%	18.23%	20.71%	24.82%	34.64%	48.72%
	607 votes	609 votes	625 votes	709 votes	848 votes	1,176 votes	1,622 votes
Fred Lampropoulos	17.04%	17.04%	17.97%	19.74%	25.02%	26.6%	
	584 votes	584 votes	616 votes	676 votes	855 votes	903 votes	
Olene Walker	14.44%	14.44%	14.5%	15.65%	16.07%		
	495 votes	495 votes	497 votes	536 votes	549 votes		
Marty Stephens	11.09%	11.09%	12.22%	13.58%			
	380 votes	380 votes	419 votes	465 votes			
Jim Hansen	8.11%	8.14%	8.66%				
	278 votes	279 votes	297 votes				
Parley Hellewell	3.53%	3.56%					
	121 votes	122 votes					
Gary Benson	0.12%						
	4 votes						

UTAH 2020



2020 Utah Gubernatorial GOP Convention vs Primary:

Convention RCV results: https://rcvis.com/visualize=utgop-2020-convention-governorjson#barchart



UTAH 2020: GOP GUBERNATORIAL CONVENTION



			Re	publicar	n convent	ion resu	ılts ^[53]					
Candidate/running parts	Round 1		Round 2		Round 3		Round 4		Round 5		Round 6	
	Votes +	% +	Votes +	% \$	Votes +	% \$	Votes +	% \$	Votes +	% \$	Votes +	% \$
Spencer Cox/Deidre Henderson	1081	30.2%	1082	30.2%	1223	34.3%	1287	36.3%	1488	42.4%	1884	55.0%
Greg Hughes/Victor Iverson	663	18.5%	674	18.8%	719	20.2%	901	25.4%	1107	31.5%	1544	45.0%
Aimee Winder Newton/John 'Frugal' Dougall	500	14.0%	508	14.2%	540	15.1%	703	19.8%	918	26.1%	Eliminated	
Thomas Wright/Rob Bishop	489	13.7%	494	13.8%	553	15.5%	658	18.5%	Eliminated			
Jeff Burningham/Dan McCay	487	13.6%	504	14.1%	530	14.9%	Eliminated					
Jon Huntsman Jr./Michelle Kaufusi	315	8.8%	315	8.8%	Eliminated							
Jason Christensen/Drew Chamberlain	44	1.2%			Eliminated							
Inactive ballots	0 bal	lots	2 ballots 14 ballots			30 ballots 66 ballots			151 ba	allots		

UTAH 2020: GOP GUBERNATORIAL PRIMARY



2020 Utah Gubernatorial GOP Convention vs Primary:

Republican primary results^[54]

Party	Candidate	Votes	%	
Republican	Spencer Cox	190,565	36.15%	
Republican	Jon Huntsman Jr.	184,246	34.95%	
Republican	Greg Hughes	110,835	21.02%	
Republican	Thomas Wright	41,532	7.88%	
	Total votes	527,178	100%	

SATISFACTION HIGH FOR RCV AND NON-RCV VOTERS

Nearly all RCV voters (94%) say they were at least somewhat satisfied with their voting experience in the municipal elections, with 3/4 saying they were "very satisfied". The same proportion of non-participants say they at least somewhat satisfied with their experience, but a smaller proportion say they were "very satisfied" (67%). The proportion of those who say they were at least "somewhat" satisfied is relatively consistent across age range, party, and town of residence sub-groups.


Overall Voting Satisfaction



Q: Overall, how satisfied were you with your voting experience in this year's Municipal Election? n = (RCV Participants: 641; Non-RCV Participants: 77)


NEARLY ALL RCV PARTICIPANTS FOUND RCV EASY

4 in 5 RCV participants say they found it at least "somewhat" easy to use, with about half saying it was "very" easy. This breakdown nearly mirrors the proportion from the 2021 survey. The proportion of participants who say they found RCV at least "somewhat" easy differs noticeably by demographics, including age range and party.



MAJORITY OF PARTICIPANTS LIKED USING RCV

58% of RCV participants say they liked using an RCV ballot, with 33% of participants saying they liked it a great deal. This breakdown nearly mirrors the proportion from the 2021 survey. The proportion of participants who say they enjoyed using an RCV ballot differs noticeably by demographics, including age range and party.





Younger voters are more likely to like using a Ranked Choice Ballot

- 78% of ages 18-34
- 60% of ages 35-44
- 57% of ages 65+




Democrats and unaffiliated voters more likely to like using a Ranked Choice Ballot

- 53% of Republicans
- 75% of Democrats
- 60% of Unaffiliated

87% SAY WINNING A MAJORITY IS IMPORTANT

Once presented with additional detail about how RCV ensures a candidate wins a majority of the votes in an election, about 87% of all respondents say it is important that a candidate wins a majority of votes in an election, highlighting a potential opportunity in focusing on the benefits of election methods and how election systems provide those benefits. Responses to this question are nearly identical to the responses to this question in the 2021 survey.




MAJORITY OF RCV VOTERS FOUND METHOD "VERY EASY"





"How easy or difficult did you find Ranked Choice Voting to use?" (shown to RCV election voters only) (n = 1,123)





BETTER. FASTER. CHEAPER. IT WORKS.

